

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

T

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,141	01/17/2002	Jin-Yong Joo	1522.1003	3416
22429 7590 09/20/2007 LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD			EXAMINER	
			CARLSON, JEFFREY D	
SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

··-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/763,141	JOO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	oears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU  136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	NICATION.  a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2007.					
2a) <u></u> □	·—	s action is non-final.				
3)	,—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	5.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		·			
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
<b>5</b> √□	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Hom consideration.				
,	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.	•				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		to by the Examiner.			
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
t ·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
u,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		n Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have be	en received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea					
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies	not received.			
			•			
Attachme						
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔯 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		of Informal Patent Application			
Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/17/02</u> .	o) 🗀 Omer.	<del></del> '			

Application/Control Number: 09/763,141

Art Unit: 3622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the paper(s) filed 7/12/07.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - Claim 7, it is unclear whether the "depriving" is a positive step or an optional one because it is apparently only performed "when the email is confirmed..."
  - Claim 7, there is no antecedent basis for any membership.
  - Claim 7 includes the possibility of not compensating the sender, yet claim 1
     requires such a payment and therefore provides a conflict.
  - Claim 8, there is no antecedent basis for the downloading.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3622

# 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rothschild (US20010047294).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 9, Rothschild teaches the concept of allowing an email sender to send and email message that includes a sender-chosen advertisement to an email recipient. Both the sender and receiver may be compensated for the advertising [abstract, para 0021, 0022]. While Rothschild appears to describe a typical "webmail" application [0047] where the mails are delivered from the server, the system can also be described as one where the sender's PC transmits the emails because the sender's PC is the one which presses the "send" button and authorizes the delivery of the email. Without the sender's PC, no mail would be transmitted. However, Rothschild also teaches that the recipient may use a typical desktop email client such as Microsoft Outlook™ and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have provided the ability for senders to transmit emails with desktop clients as well for users more comfortable with an application program rather than webmail. When an advertisement is chosen and included with the email, it can be said to be an "activated" advertisement now included with the email. Rothschild describes that the server can collect information regarding the sending and receiving/interacting of the advertisements and the system will compensate the user's accordingly [0054, 0056, 0057]. In the case where the sender uses a desktop application, such notifications would be delivered to the server from the client PC.

Regarding claims 2, 10, Rothschild teaches that ads may include embedded URLs; these are taken to represent ads inserted into the ground of the email. However,

Application/Control Number: 09/763,141

Art Unit: 3622

HTML-formatted emails are well known to include various HTML features including background content (in fact Outlook supports such features) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have inserted any advertising content into the foreground or background of the email as a matter of design choice. Compensating for interacting with an interactive advertisement is also taken to represent compensation for receiving the advertisement as the advertisement cannot be interacted with without its receipt. The two go hand-in-hand. Nonetheless, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have compensated recipients for merely receiving a "static" ad because it is well known for advertisers to pay per advertisement "impression".

Regarding claims 3, 4, 8, the webmail application of Rothschild is taken to include downloading ads to the client's browser page for display and review before the personalized message is added and email is submitted for delivery. Further, Rothschild teaches that the sender may be the source for the advertisement [0049 lines 10-13].

Regarding claim 6, the advertisement itself and being able to experience it can be taken to be a free gift.

Regarding claim 7, Rothschild teaches techniques to punish the sender for SPAM abuse of the system and/or fraud [0068].

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey D. Carlson whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 09/763,141

Art Unit: 3622

6716. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8a-5:30p, (work from home on Thursdays).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey D. Carlson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

idc